



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

GB/03/5352

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

REG'D 26 JAN 2004

WIPO PCT

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



*R. Mahoney*

Signed

Dated 13 January 2004

**PRIORITY  
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)



1/77

10DEC02 E769709-1 D10103

P01/7700 0.00-0228694.6

The Patent Office

# Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)



Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference P0208-GB01

2. Patent application number  
(The Patent Office will fill in this part,

0228694.6

09 DEC 2002

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

OXFORD GLYCOSCIENCES (UK) LTD  
THE FORUM  
86 MILTON PARK  
ABINGDON, OXON OX14 4RY  
UNITED KINGDOM

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

7112386002

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

ENGLAND AND WALES

08449610001

4. Title of the invention

Novel Therapeutic Target

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

BLAKEY, ALISON JANE  
OXFORD GLYCOSCIENCES (UK) LTD  
THE FORUM  
86 MILTON PARK  
ABINGDON, OXON OX14 4RY  
UNITED KINGDOM

7112386002

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number  
(if you know it)

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
  - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
  - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

YES

**Patents Form 1/77**

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 11 ✓

Claim(s) 2 ✓

Abstract -

Drawing(s) -

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77) 1 ✓

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify)

Fee Sheet (FS1) ✓

11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

*Alison Blakey*

Date 06/12/2002

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

MARY GADSDEN

01235 208127

**Warning**

*After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.*

**Notes**

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 08459 500505.*
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.*
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.*
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.*
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.*
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.*

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## NOVEL THERAPEUTIC TARGET

The present invention relates to a novel antifungal target, biotin protein ligase 1 (BPL1), screening methods for BPL1 inhibitors and their use as antifungal compounds, pharmaceutical compositions containing them and their use in medicine, specifically in the treatment of an individual susceptible to or suffering from an anti-fungal infection. In particular the compounds find use in the treatment of topical or mucosal (e.g. thrush and vaginal candidiasis) fungal infections, e.g. caused by fungus of the *Candida* species, and for systemic infections, e.g. caused by fungi of *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species, such as but not limited to *C. albicans*, *Aspergillus flavus* or *Aspergillus fumigatus*.

## INTRODUCTION

## Fungal Pathogens

Two major fungal pathogens are those of the *Candida* species, such as but not limited to, *C. albicans*, and those of the *Aspergillus* species, such as but not limited to, *Aspergillus flavus* or *Aspergillus fumigatus*.

Fungal infections can affect humans and animals. Generally, fungal infections occur as a result of opportunistic infection of a weakened or immune-suppressed individual and these can include infections of the joints and skin. The yeast *Candida albicans* (*C. albicans*) is one of the most pervasive fungal pathogens in humans. It is the cause of an increasing financial and logistic burden on the medical care system and its providers. Although *C. albicans* is a member of the normal flora of the mucous membranes in the respiratory, gastrointestinal, and female genital tracts, it may gain dominance in such locations (e.g. upon treatment with antibacterial antibiotics, in patients with diabetes or in patients using corticosteroids) and be associated with pathologic conditions. In addition, almost all HIV-positive individuals suffer from a *Candida* infection prior to the onset of developing full-blown AIDS. The incidence of life-threatening fungal infections has increased dramatically as the population of immunocompromised individuals (including cancer, organ transplant and AIDS patients) has increased. Present therapeutic options for the treatment of these infections are limited and thus there is a need for new anti-fungal compounds with novel mechanisms of action for use in treating or preventing such fungal infections.

Antifungal drug development often relies on the screening of a large number of compounds before one or more lead compounds are found that are effective against the target fungi. Thus, it is critical for the development of these screens to define proteins essential for survival or growth of the target fungi and to discover means of purifying or producing such proteins. Thus, there is a need in the art to identify essential fungal structural or functional gene products that can serve as targets for drug intervention, and for methods for identifying useful anti-fungal agents that impair the function of these essential fungal gene products, and for compositions that can be used to treat fungal infections by preventing or inhibiting the growth of, and preferentially killing, the fungi.

## Identification of 'Essential' genes

Varying definitions are used in the art for what constitutes an essential gene, but the term is most frequently applied to those genes necessary for growth on rich medium. This variation in the art can be misleading and restrictive in terms of identifying gene products that constitute good antifungal targets. A significant amount of *C. albicans* genomic sequence information is available in both public (<http://www.sequence.stanford.edu/group/candida/>) and private (Incyte Genomics Inc.) databases. This can be combined with genomic sequence data from other organisms (The yeast genome directory, 1997, Nature, 387(6632 Suppl):5; Wood V, et al., 2002, Nature, 415(6874):871-80) and with supporting data such as the functional profiling of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome (Giaever G, et al., 2002, Nature, 418(6896):387-91). This bioinformatics driven approach has allowed the prediction of genes that may be essential in *C. albicans* (Spaltmann F, et al., 1999, Drug Discovery Today, 4:17-26). However, even for relatively closely related organisms such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *C. albicans*, there are

significant differences that make such *in silico* predictions unreliable. For example, CET1 and CDC25 are not essential in *C. albicans* despite being essential in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Enloe B, *et al.*, 2000, J Bacteriol, Oct, 182:20, 5730-6; Dunyak DS, *et al.*, 2002, 6<sup>th</sup> ASM Conference on Candida and Candidiasis).

There are several strategies for identifying essential genes in *C. albicans* by practical methodology. Negative approaches rely on the inability to generate a strain that contains a disrupted functional target gene. The majority of genes characterised in this way rely on variations of the *URA* blaster method (Fonzi, W.A. & Irwin, M.Y., 1993, Genetics, 134:717-728). These techniques can be highly effective for analysing individual genes, but they may not be completely reliable. *CET1* was incorrectly reported to be essential in *C. albicans* because viable homozygous mutants could not be recovered using the *URA* blaster method (Pei *et al.*, 2001). However it has subsequently been shown not to be essential (Dunyak *et al.*, 2002). Positive approaches control the expression of the target gene either indirectly, such as using antisense RNA (De Backer MD, *et al.*, 2001, Nat Biotechnol, Mar, 19:3, 235-41), or directly such as promoter replacement with inducible promoters such as *MRP1* and *Tet* (Munro CA, *et al.*, 2001, Mol Microbiol, Mar 39:5 1414-26; Nakayama H, *et al.*, 2000, Infect Immun, Dec 68:12 6712-9).

Genome wide identification of essential genes has not been successfully applied to *C. albicans* for several reasons. These include that *C. albicans* is a diploid organism, is not capable of mating under normal circumstances, and that there are few functional transposable elements. Attempts to overcome these issues by using antisense RNA and promoter interference have had limited success (De Backer *et al.*, 2001). Therefore there is a need in the art for validated essential genes of fungal species, in particular the *Candida* species, that can be used as targets for the development of new antifungal compounds.

#### Biotin protein ligase 1

Biotin protein ligase 1 (BPL1) E.C. 6.3.4.- (includes E.C.6.3.4.9; E.C.6.3.4.10; E.C.6.3.4.11; E.C.6.3.4.15) is involved in posttranslational modification of specific proteins via attachment of biotin i.e. ATP + biotin + apo-[peptide] = AMP + diphosphate + [biotin-peptide] (Cronan & Wallace, 1995, FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 130, 221-230). BPL1 has been demonstrated to act on various carboxylases, such as acetyl-CoA-carboxylase, pyruvate carboxylase, propionyl CoA carboxylase, and 3-methylcrotonyl CoA carboxylase. The BPL1 enzyme is encoded by the BPL1 gene (ACC2/YDL141W/D2140) and details for the fungal enzyme are provided under Accession number: P48445 in the Swiss Prot database (<http://ca.expasy.org>) for *S.cerevisiae* and CA6035 in the Institut Pasteur *Candida* database (<http://genolist.pasteur.fr/CandidaDB>) which is cross referenced with the Stanford open-reading frame orf6.8993 (<http://www.sequence.stanford.edu/group/candida>). Synonyms for BPL1 include Biotin Apo-protein ligase.

The present invention is based on the finding that BPL1 is an essential protein for the fungal species *Candida* and *Aspergillus*. This finding demonstrates the potential for developing fungal selective BPL1 inhibitors, which can kill invading fungal organisms while sparing the host of any detrimental effects. Prior to this invention, BPL1 has not been considered as a differential target for antifungal compounds.

#### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to fungal biotin protein ligase 1 (hereinafter referred to as "BPL1") as a target for antifungal therapy, in particular, for antifungal therapy against *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species. The invention also relates to a method for screening or testing for potential antifungal compounds, e.g. small molecules, by determining whether a candidate agent is capable of specifically inhibiting fungal biotinylation activity via a selective interaction with BPL1. The present invention describes the essential nature of BPL1 in *C. albicans*. It further describes the use of mechanism-based

assays, with or without the use of a transformed eukaryotic organism with the BPL1 gene under the control of a heterologous promoter, to facilitate drug discovery.

Additionally, the invention relates to BPL1 inhibitor compositions and to methods for treating fungal infections, e.g. *Candida* and *Aspergillus* fungal infections, by administering to a host suffering from a fungal infection a therapeutically effective amount of a BPL1 inhibitor.

#### Definitions

In the context of this invention:

"Essential gene" is defined as a fungal gene necessary for growth on rich medium.

"BPL1 inhibitor" is defined as any compound that impairs BPL1 function in the fungus. A compound that impairs BPL1 function may be one that, modulates, e.g. inhibits, the expression or activity of BPL1, interacts with BPL1 or binds to BPL1. Furthermore, a compound that modulates the expression of BPL1 may interfere with the transcription of the gene encoding BPL1 or with the translation of mRNA encoding BPL1 in target organisms. It is desirable that the compound shows specificity for fungal over host BPL1. A therapeutically effective amount of a BPL1 inhibitor is one that is sufficient to inhibit partially or fully the biotinylation activity via BPL1 of the causative fungi.

"Fragment" is defined as a fragment of a BPL1 polypeptide e.g. as provided by accession numbers P48445, CA6035 or Stanford orf6.8993, having at least 70%, more preferably it has at least 75%, at least 80%, at least 85%, at least 90%, at least 95% or at least 98% identity to the native polypeptide over the length of the fragment and which is at least ten amino acids long. An active fragment is one that retains the ability to carry out the BPL1 enzyme function.

"Function-conservative fragment" is defined as a BPL1 encoding sequence in which a given amino acid residue in the polypeptide has been changed without altering the overall conformation and function of the native polypeptide, including, but not limited to, replacement of an amino acid with one having similar physical and/or chemical properties (such as, for example, acidic, basic, hydrophobic, and the like) or polymorphisms.

"Fusion protein" unless otherwise specified, is defined as a BPL1 polypeptide, fragment or function-conservative fragment thereof fused via a covalent bond (e.g. a peptide bond), at optionally the N-terminus or the C-terminus, to an amino acid sequence of another protein (or portion thereof; preferably at least a 10, 20 or 50 amino acid portion of the protein). Preferably the polypeptide, or fragment thereof, is linked to the other protein at the N-terminus of the constant domain of the polypeptide.

"Growth" is defined as the normal growth pattern of fungi, i.e. the cell doubling time during the log phase of growth. For example, in rich media, wild-type *C. albicans* has a doubling time of approximately 60 minutes. Growth of the cells may be measured by following the optical density of cells in liquid media, where an increasing optical density indicates growth. Alternatively, growth can also be measured by colony formation from single cells on solid media plates.

"Viability" is defined as the ability of fungal cells to resume growth following a treatment of the cells that results in cessation of growth. One typical means by which viability is measured is by testing the ability of cells to form colonies on solid media plates.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The invention provides BPL1 as a specific target for antifungal compounds.

The methods of the invention provide a facile and specific assay to screen compounds as potential antifungal compounds, in particular, as antifungal compounds against *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species.

Thus, the invention provides a method of screening or testing for antifungal compounds, e.g. against *Candida* or *Aspergillus* species, that impair biotin protein ligase 1 enzyme function (BPL1), comprising:

- a) providing fungal BPL1, preferably *Candida* or *Aspergillus* BPL1;
- b) providing one or more candidate compounds;
- c) contacting said BPL1 with said one or more candidate compounds; and
- d) determining the interaction of the candidate compound with said BPL1.

5 The screening method of the invention may be performed using techniques know in the art, e.g. the assay may comprise a growth inhibition assay, a binding assay or a translation inhibition assay. Binding assays include competitive binding assays, wherein the binding affinity of the candidate compound is compared with that of a known enzyme substrate for BPL1, a preferred enzyme substrate is biotin.

10 In the screening methods of the invention the candidate compound or enzyme substrate may be labelled to allow easy quantitation of the interaction between the candidate compound and the enzyme. Preferably the substrate is labelled e.g. using streptavidin and the preferred substrate is biotin, as described in Example 3.

15 BPL1 may be cloned or purified from fungi for use in *in vitro* binding, ligand binding or translation inhibition assays. Preferably, the BPL1 is from fungal pathogens of humans and animals, such as *Candida* or *Aspergillus* species. In a particular embodiment, BPL1 may comprise a fragment, a function-conservative variant, an active fragment or a fusion protein of BPL1.

20 BPL1 can be purified by techniques well known to those skilled in the art. Methods for polypeptide purification include, without limitation, preparative disc-gel electrophoresis, isoelectric focusing, HPLC, reversed-phase HPLC, gel filtration, ion exchange and partition chromatography, and countercurrent distribution. For some purposes, it is preferable to produce the polypeptide in a recombinant system in which the protein contains an additional sequence tag that facilitates purification, such as, but not limited to, a polyhistidine sequence. The polypeptide can then be purified from a crude lysate of the host cell by chromatography on an appropriate solid-phase matrix. Alternatively, antibodies  
25 produced against the fungal target protein or against peptides derived therefrom can be used as purification reagents.

30 BPL1 can also be provided in a transformed eukaryotic organism under the control of a heterologous promoter. Such cells can be used in growth inhibition assays. Preferably, the eukaryotic organism is *C.albicans* or *S. cerevisiae*. More preferably the organism is *C.albicans*. Briefly, a *C.albicans* strain is generated in which expression of the BPL1 gene can be tightly regulated. To do this the wild-type allele of the gene of interest is replaced with an allele that can be regulated by an exogenous agent. In general, nucleic acid manipulations and other related techniques used in practicing the present invention employ methods that are well known in the art, as disclosed in, e.g. Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (2nd Ed., Sambrook, Fritsch and Maniatis, Cold Spring Harbor) and Current  
35 Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, Brent, Kingston, More, Feidman, Smith and Stuhl, Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley Interscience, NY, NY, 1997).

Thus, the invention also provides a modified eukaryotic cell(s) wherein the cell(s) expresses BPL1 under the control of a heterologous promoter. In one embodiment, the BPL1 may be heterologous or homologous. Preferably, the BPL1 is homologous.

40 The eukaryotic cell is preferably *C.albicans* or *S. cerevisiae*, more preferably *C.albicans*.

In a specific embodiment, BPL1 may be expressed in a tetracycline-regulatable expression system. The tetracycline-regulatable expression system is an established tool for conditional expression of eukaryotic genes (Gossen, M. A., & H. Bujard, 1992, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 89:5547-5551; Nagahashi, S., *et al.*, 1997, Mol. Gen. Genet, 255:372-375; Nakayama, H., *et al.*, 1998, Microbiology,  
45 144:2407-2415; Nakayama H, *et al.*, 2000, Infect Immun, Dec 68:12 6712-9). Such a system consists of two components derived from the Tn10 transposon of *Escherichia coli* (Hillen, W., & A. Wissmann,

1989, In Protein-nucleic acid interaction, vol. 10, Macmillan Press, London, United Kingdom, p. 143–162). The first component comprises a minimal promoter element downstream of a tetracycline operator sequence (*tetO*), which replaces the natural promoter of the target gene. The second component is a transactivator, that is a fusion protein comprising a transcriptional activation domain and the tetracycline repressor protein (*TetR*).

In the absence of tetracycline, *TetR* specifically binds to *tetO* as a dimer, resulting in the activation of transcription by recruiting the transactivator to the promoter. When tetracycline is present, it binds to the TetR repressor with high affinity and inhibits dimerisation, thereby preventing binding to *tetO*. Therefore, BPL1 gene expression is activated in the absence, and repressed in the presence, of tetracycline. A synthetic tetracycline derivative, such as but not limited to, doxycycline can be used to control the expression of the BPL1 gene as described above.

The tetracycline-regulatable expression system has several advantages over alternative systems. It was derived from a prokaryotic system so it is not anticipated to show pleiotropic effects (Gossen and Bujard, 1992), it can be used in an animal host (Nakayama, H., *et al.*, 1998, Microbiology, 144:2407–2415; Nakayama H, *et al.*, 2000, Infect Immun, Dec 68:12 6712-9), it is highly specific, and non-toxic.

Such modified cells may be used in screening methods. Thus, the invention also provides a method of screening or testing for candidate anti-fungal compounds, e.g. against *Candida* or *Aspergillus* species, that impair biotin protein ligase 1 enzyme (BPL1) function, comprising;

- a) providing fungal BPL1, preferably *Candida* or *Aspergillus* BPL1, in a eukaryotic cell(s) that expresses BPL1 under the control of a heterologous promoter;
- b) providing one or more candidate compounds;
- c) contacting said eukaryotic cell(s) with said one or more candidate compounds; and
- d) determining the interaction of the candidate compound with said BPL1 by assessing the effect on growth or viability of said cells.

The screening methods of the invention include both *in vitro* and *in vivo* methods. Candidate compounds which may be screened according to the methods of the invention include small molecules and peptides. The candidate compounds may be synthetic compounds, a mixture of synthetic compounds, a crude preparation, a purified preparation or an initial extract of a natural product obtained from plant, microorganism or animal sources.

The invention also provides a compound identified by the screening methods described above, which impairs BPL1 function and is referred to herein as a 'BPL1 inhibitor'.

BPL1 inhibitors of the invention are useful as antifungal compounds. Thus, they may be used in the treatment and prevention of various fungal infections such as topical or mucosal (e.g. thrush and vaginal candidiasis) fungal infections, caused by e.g. *Candida* species, and for systemic fungal infections, caused by e.g. *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species, such as but not limited to *C. albicans*, *Aspergillus flavus* or *Aspergillus fumigatus*.

For the purposes of this invention, the medicament can be used in the curative or prophylactic treatment of fungal infections in humans and animals, especially domestic animals such as dogs, cats, horses etc.

In addition, the BPL1 inhibitors also find use in the curative or prophylactic treatment of fungal infections in subjects who are immunosuppressed e.g. as a result of a therapy (e.g. chemotherapy or radiotherapy), organ transplant or an infection (e.g. HIV).

In additional embodiments, therefore, the present invention provides:

- i) the use of a BPL1 inhibitor as an anti-fungal agent.
- ii) the use of a BPL1 inhibitor in the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of fungal infections, such as topical or mucosal (e.g. thrush and vaginal candidiasis) fungal infections, e.g. caused



by *Candida* species, and for systemic fungal infections e.g. caused by *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species, such as but not limited to, *C. albicans*, *Aspergillus flavus* or *Aspergillus fumigatus*.

iii) the use of a BPL1 inhibitor in the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of fungal infections in a subject who is immunosuppressed, for example, as a result of a therapy (e.g. chemotherapy or radiotherapy), organ transplant or an infection (e.g. HIV).

iv) a method for the treatment or prevention of fungal infections in a host, such as topical or mucosal (e.g. thrush and vaginal candidiasis) fungal infections, e.g. caused by *Candida* species, and for systemic fungal infections, e.g. caused by *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species, such as but not limited to *C. albicans*, *Aspergillus flavus* or *Aspergillus fumigatus*, which comprises administering to the host a therapeutically or prophylactically effective amount of a BPL1 inhibitor.

v) a method for the treatment or prevention of fungal infections in a subject who is immunosuppressed, for example, as a result of a therapy (e.g. chemotherapy or radiotherapy), organ transplant or an infection (e.g. HIV) which comprises the step of administering to the subject a therapeutically or prophylactically effective amount of a BPL1 inhibitor.

In order to use BPL1 inhibitors in therapy (human or veterinary), they will normally be formulated into a pharmaceutical composition in accordance with standard pharmaceutical practice, e.g. by admixing the BPL1 inhibitor and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Thus according to a further aspect of the invention there is provided a pharmaceutical composition comprising a BPL1 inhibitor and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. The pharmaceutical compositions are particularly useful in the prevention or treatment of fungal infections, preferably, in the treatment of *Candida* or *Aspergillus* fungal infections.

BPL1 inhibitors may be administered to a host by any of the routes conventionally used for drug administration, for example they may be administered parenterally, orally, topically (including buccal, sublingual or transdermal) or by inhalation. The most suitable route for administration in any given case will depend on the particular BPL1 inhibitor, the infectious organism involved, the host, and the nature and severity of the disease and the physical condition of the host.

The BPL1 inhibitors may be administered in combination, e.g. simultaneously, sequentially or separately, with one or more other therapeutically active, e.g. antifungal, compounds.

The dosage to be administered of a BPL1 inhibitor will vary according to the particular BPL1 inhibitor, the infectious organism involved, the host, the severity of the disease, physical condition of the host, and the selected route of administration; the appropriate dosage can be readily determined by a person skilled in the art. For the treatment of fungal diseases in humans and animals, the dosage may range from 0.01 mg/kg to 750 mg/kg. For prophylactic use in human and animals, the dosage may range from 0.01 mg/kg to 100 mg/kg.

The compositions may contain from 0.1% by weight, preferably from 10-60% by weight, of the BPL1 inhibitor, depending on the method of administration.

Pharmaceutical compositions may be conveniently presented in unit dose forms containing a predetermined amount of BPL1 inhibitor per dose. Such a unit may contain for example but without limitation, 100mg/kg to 0.1mg/kg depending on the condition being treated, the route of administration and the age, weight and condition of the host. Preferred unit dosage compositions are those containing a daily dose or sub-dose, as recited above, or an appropriate fraction thereof, of the active ingredient.

It will be recognized by one of skill in the art that the optimal quantity and spacing of individual dosages of an agent of the invention will be determined by the nature and extent of the condition being treated, the form, route and site of administration, and the particular host being treated, and that such optimums can be determined by conventional techniques. It will also be appreciated by one of skill in the art that the optimal course of treatment, i.e. the number of doses of an agent of the invention given per

day for a defined number of days, can be ascertained by those skilled in the art using conventional course of treatment determination tests.

Dosage regimens are adjusted to provide the optimum desired response. For example, a single bolus may be administered, several divided doses may be administered over time or the dose may be proportionally reduced or increased as indicated by the exigencies of the therapeutic situation.

Pharmaceutically acceptable carriers for use in the invention may take a wide variety of forms depending, e.g. on the route of administration.

Compositions for oral administration may be liquid or solid. Oral liquid preparations may be in the form of, for example, aqueous or oily suspensions, solutions, emulsions, syrups or elixirs, or may be presented as a dry product for reconstitution with water or other suitable vehicle before use. Oral liquid preparations may contain suspending agents, for example sorbitol, methyl cellulose, glucose syrup, gelatin, hydroxyethyl cellulose, carboxymethyl cellulose, aluminium stearate gel or hydrogenated edible fats, emulsifying agents, for example lecithin, sorbitan monooleate, or acacia; water; non-aqueous vehicles (which may include edible oils), for example almond oil, oily esters such as glycerine, propylene glycol, or ethyl alcohol; preservatives, for example methyl or propyl *p*-hydroxybenzoate or sorbic acid; flavoring agents, preservatives, coloring agents and the like may be used.

In the case of oral solid preparations such as powders, capsules and tablets, carriers such as starches, sugars, microcrystalline cellulose, diluents, granulating agents, lubricants, binders, disintegrating agents, and the like may be included. Because of their ease of administration, tablets and capsules represent the most advantageous oral dosage unit form in which case solid pharmaceutical carriers are generally employed. In addition to the common dosage forms set out above, BPL1 inhibitors may also be administered by controlled release means and/or delivery devices. Tablets and capsules may comprise conventional carriers or excipients such as binding agents for example, syrup, acacia, gelatin, sorbitol, tragacanth, or polyvinylpyrrolidone; fillers, for example lactose, sugar, maize-starch, calcium phosphate, sorbitol or glycine; tableting lubricants, for example magnesium stearate, talc, polyethylene glycol or silica; disintegrants, for example potato starch; or acceptable wetting agents such as sodium lauryl sulphate. The tablets may be coated by standard aqueous or non-aqueous techniques according to methods well known in normal pharmaceutical practice.

Pharmaceutical compositions of the present invention suitable for oral administration may be presented as discrete units such as capsules, cachets or tablets, each containing a predetermined amount of the active ingredient, as a powder or granules, or as a solution or a suspension in an aqueous liquid, a non-aqueous liquid, an oil-in-water emulsion or a water-in-oil liquid emulsion. Such compositions may be prepared by any of the methods of pharmacy but all methods include the step of bringing into association the active ingredient with the carrier, which constitutes one or more necessary ingredients. In general, the compositions are prepared by uniformly and intimately admixing the active ingredient with liquid carriers or finely divided solid carriers or both, and then, if necessary, shaping the product into the desired presentation. For example, a tablet may be prepared by compression or moulding, optionally with one or more accessory ingredients.

Compressed tablets may be prepared by compressing, in a suitable machine, the active ingredient in a free-flowing form such as a powder or granules, optionally mixed with a binder, lubricant, inert diluent, surface active or dispersing agent. Moulded tablets may be made by moulding, in a suitable machine, a mixture of the powdered compound moistened with an inert liquid diluent. Desirably, each tablet contains from about 1 mg to about 500 mg of the active ingredient and each cachet or capsule contains from about 1 to about 500 mg of the active ingredient.

Compositions comprising a BPL1 inhibitor may also be prepared in powder or liquid concentrate form. Conventional water soluble excipients, such as lactose or sucrose, may be incorporated in the

powders to improve their physical properties. Thus, particularly suitable powders of this invention comprise 50 to 100% w/w, and preferably 60 to 80% w/w of the combination and 0 to 50% w/w and preferably 20 to 40% w/w of conventional excipients. When used in a veterinary setting such powders may be added to animal feedstuffs, for example by way of an intermediate premix, or diluted in animal drinking water.

Liquid concentrates of this invention for oral administration suitably contain a water-soluble compound combination and may optionally include a pharmaceutically acceptable water miscible solvent, for example polyethylene glycol, propylene glycol, glycerol, glycerol formal or such a solvent mixed with up to 30% v/v of ethanol.

Pharmaceutical compositions suitable for parenteral administration may be prepared as solutions or suspensions of the BPL1 inhibitors in water suitably mixed with a surfactant such as hydroxypropylcellulose. Dispersions can also be prepared in glycerol, liquid polyethylene glycols, and mixtures thereof in oils. Under ordinary conditions of storage and use, these preparations contain a preservative to prevent the growth of microorganisms.

The pharmaceutical forms suitable for injectable use include aqueous or non-aqueous sterile injection solutions which may contain anti-oxidants, buffers, bacteriostats and solutes which render the composition isotonic with the blood of the intended recipient, and aqueous and non-aqueous sterile suspensions which may include suspending agents and thickening agents. Extemporaneous injection solutions, dispersions and suspensions may be prepared from sterile powders, granules and tablets.

The compositions may be presented in unit-dose or multi-dose containers, for example in sealed ampoules and vials and to enhance stability, may be stored in a freeze-dried (lyophilized) condition requiring only the addition of the sterile liquid carrier, for example water for injections, immediately prior to use. The sterile liquid carrier may be supplied in a separate vial or ampoule and can be a solvent or dispersion medium containing, for example, water, ethanol, polyol (e.g. glycerol, propylene glycol and liquid polyethylene glycol), suitable mixtures thereof, and vegetable oils. Advantageously, agents such as a local anaesthetic, preservative and buffering agents can be included the sterile liquid carrier.

Pharmaceutical compositions adapted for topical administration may be formulated as ointments, creams, suspensions, lotions, powders, solutions, pastes, gels, impregnated dressings, sprays, aerosols or oils, transdermal devices, dusting powders, and the like. These compositions may be prepared via conventional methods containing the active ingredient. Thus, they may also comprise compatible conventional carriers and additives, such as preservatives, solvents to assist drug penetration, emollients in creams or ointments and ethanol or oleyl alcohol for lotions. Such carriers may be present as from about 1% up to about 98% of the composition. More usually they will form up to about 80% of the composition. As an illustration only, a cream or ointment is prepared by mixing sufficient quantities of hydrophilic material and water, containing from about 5-10% by weight of the compound, in sufficient quantities to produce a cream or ointment having the desired consistency.

Pharmaceutical compositions adapted for transdermal administration may be presented as discrete patches intended to remain in intimate contact with the epidermis of the recipient for a prolonged period of time. For example, the active ingredient may be delivered from the patch by iontophoresis.

For applications to external tissues, for example the mouth and skin, the compositions are preferably applied as a topical ointment or cream. When formulated in an ointment, the active ingredient may be employed with either a paraffinic or a water-miscible ointment base. Alternatively, the active ingredient may be formulated in a cream with an oil-in-water cream base or a water-in-oil base.

Pharmaceutical compositions adapted for topical administration in the mouth include lozenges, pastilles and mouth washes.

Pharmaceutical compositions adapted for topical administration to the eye include eye drops wherein the active ingredient is dissolved or suspended in a suitable carrier, especially an aqueous solvent. They also include topical ointments or creams as above.

Pharmaceutical compositions suitable for rectal administration wherein the carrier is a solid are most preferably presented as unit dose suppositories. Suitable carriers include cocoa butter or other glyceride or materials commonly used in the art, and the suppositories may be conveniently formed by admixture of the combination with the softened or melted carrier(s) followed by chilling and shaping moulds. They may also be administered as enemas.

Pharmaceutical compositions adapted for vaginal administration may be presented as pessaries, tampons, creams, gels, pastes, foams or spray compositions. These may comprise emollients or bases as commonly used in the art.

All publications, including but not limited to patents and patent applications, cited in this specification are herein incorporated by reference as if each individual publication were specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference herein as though fully set forth.

The following examples are to be construed as merely illustrative and not a limitation on the scope of the invention in any way.

#### EXAMPLES

##### Example 1: Expression of BPL1

The BPL1 ORF was cloned into pBlueBacGST (modified pBlueBac4.5 vector (Invitrogen) to enable production of GST fusion proteins in insect cells). Recombinant baculovirus was generated by homologous recombination between the transfer vector (pBlueBacGST containing the Bpl1 ORF) and Bac-N-Blue DNA (Invitrogen) (Bac-N-Blue DNA is triple cut linearized *Autographa californica* nuclear polyhedrosis virus genomic DNA). Recombination occurs within Sf9 (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) cells by cotransfecting the two DNA's into the cells with Lipofectin reagent. Recombinant virus was isolated by plaque assay and high titre stocks of virus were generated in Sf9 cells.

For protein expression, Tni cells (*Trichoplusia ni*) at a cell density of approx  $2 \times 10^6$  cells/ml were infected with recombinant virus at a multiplicity of infection of 5-10 plaque forming units/cell. The cells were cultured for a further 48 h at 28°C then harvested by centrifugation.

##### Example 2: Purification of BPL1

*Trichoplusia ni* cells from 2L of culture were harvested by centrifugation at 6000 x g for 10min. The cell pellets were resuspended in 150ml of buffer A (20mM Hepes (pH7.4), 5mM DTT, 140mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.02% (w/v) sodium azide, and a protease inhibitor cocktail consisting of 1mM benzamidine,  $1 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$  each of pepstatin, antipain and leupeptin, 0.2mM PMSF, Complete (Roche) and general protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). The cell extract was centrifuged at 75 000 x g for 10 min. The supernatant was then removed and batch loaded onto 5ml Glutathione Sepharose (Amersham Biosciences) at 4°C with constant tumbling. The resin was extensively batch washed with phosphate buffer containing 0.5M NaCl then with buffer B (20mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 1mM DTT, 1mM EDTA, 100mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.02% sodium azide). The resin was then packed into a disposable PD-10 column. BPL1 was cleaved from GST by incubation of the Glutathione Sepharose beads with 1 column volume of buffer B containing 300U PreScission protease (Amersham Biosciences) overnight at 4°C with constant tumbling. BPL1 was recovered by centrifugation of the PD-10 column (500 x g) followed by washing of the column with buffer B. The BPL-1 was then applied to a Superdex 200 16/60 column linked to an AKTA FPLC system (Amersham Biosciences) equilibrated in buffer containing 200mM NaCl and 1ml fractions were collected. Fractions containing BPL1 were pooled.

**Example 3: BPL1 assay**

The biotin protein ligase assay is adapted from Chapman-Smith A, et al., (1999, J Biol Chem, 274(3), 1449-57). 96 well plates are coated for 1 h at room temperature with 1µg MBP-pyc1 in 50µl PBS (MBP-pyc1 = C-terminal 108 amino acids of *Candida albicans* Pyc1 fused to maltose binding protein (NEB), expressed in and purified from *E. coli*). Coated plates are washed three times with distilled water and tapped dry. The reaction is started by adding 0.5µg of purified BPL1 in 50µl of buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 200 µM ATP, 5.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.01 µM Biotin, 100 mM KCl, 1.4 mM β-mercaptoethanol) to each well. The ligase activity is tested at 30°C for 2 h. The plate is then washed three times with distilled water. After tapping dry, 50µl of Streptavidin-HRP (Amersham) diluted 1:3000 in STET (50 mM Tris pH7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, 1 mM EDTA) is added to each well and the plate is incubated, with rocking, for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing the plate three times with distilled water and tapping dry, 100µl of 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB, KPL) is added to each well. When the colour has developed (5-15 minutes) the reaction is stopped by adding 100µl of 1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to each well, the optical density of each well is then determined at 450nm in a Wallac plate reader.

**Example 4: BPL1 as an essential gene product****4.1 Construction of the tetracycline-regulatable expression system**

*C. albicans* CAI8 is a suitable parental strain for all manipulations. The parental strain is constructed to constitutively express a codon-optimised tetracycline transactivator, consisting of TetR fused to the viral VP16 transcriptional activation domain (Gari, E., et al., 1997, Yeast, 13:837-848), from the chromosomal enolase promoter (Mason A. B., et al., 1993, J. Bacteriol, 175: 2632-2639). One copy of the target gene, BPL1, is disrupted in the transactivator expressing strain using the standard *URA*-blaster method (Fonzi, W.A. & Irwin, M.Y., 1993, Genetics, 134:717-728). The promoter region of the other BPL1 allele is then replaced with the minimal promoter element containing the tetracycline operator sequence *tetO*. Both *in vivo* and *in vitro* this system enables strong induction and tight repression of BPL1 gene expression in the absence and presence, respectively, of the tetracycline analogue doxycycline.

**4.2 In vitro validation experiments**

The essential nature of BPL1 may be determined by assessing the growth and viability of the *C. albicans* strain modified to include a tetracycline-regulatable BPL1-expression system as described above (section 4.1). A single fresh colony (grown at 30°C in rich medium in the absence of tetracycline) is used to streak fresh plates containing synthetic complete medium minus uracil (SC-U) (Qbiogene), plus 2% agar, plus or minus 20µg/ml doxycycline as indicated. Growth is scored after 2 days at 30°C. Impairment in the ability to form colonies would be expected under the conditions where BPL1 expression was repressed (i.e. in the presence of doxycycline).

Growth of the tetracycline-regulatable BPL1-expression system *C. albicans* strain in liquid SC-U medium may also be assessed. The inoculum is a 1:100 dilution of an overnight culture adjusted with PBS to an optical density at 600nm =1 and stored at 4°C. Growth, at 25°C, plus or minus 20µg/ml doxycycline, is measured at 30 minute intervals over a 43h time period or until the growth has noticeably reached a plateau. Growth curves are recorded in 96 well plates in a Wallac plate reader (600nm, 25°C heated stage, 2mm orbital shaking pattern). Significantly reduced growth rates would be expected under the conditions where BPL1 expression was repressed (i.e. in the presence of doxycycline).

**Example 5: BPL1 as an essential gene product: In vivo validation experiment - Murine model of systemic infection with *C. albicans* conditional mutants**

Several reproducible animal models have been described including those of rat vaginal and oral Candidiasis (Calderone R.A. & Braun P.C, 1991, Microbiol. Rev, 55:1-20). However, the most commonly used model is the murine model of hematogenously inoculated, disseminated Candidiasis

(Ghannoum MA, et al., 1995, Infect. Immun, 63:4528-4530). In the murine disseminated model, a single dose of organism is inoculated via the tail vein. The end points for this model are survival of animals and tissue counts of *C. albicans* (generally the kidneys).

To further validate the essential nature of the BPL1 gene, the conditional mutants of *C. albicans* strains (as described in Example 4, section 4.1), wherein the BPL1 gene is under the control of a tetracycline repressible promoter, may be tested to determine whether they are attenuated in an immuno-competent murine model of infection (Ghannoum *et al*, 1995) as follows:

Initially the organisms are grown in the absence of DOX, since under these conditions they would express the BPL1 gene. These organisms are then used to inoculate two groups of 12 of mice. One group is treated with DOX, in which expression of the BPL1 gene is repressed, and the second group of mice is treated with water (control) wherein the gene continued to be expressed. The mice used are single sex BALB/c mice, Harlan, 4 weeks old and weighing between 19-22 g.

Infective doses of *C. albicans* are injected into the tail vein. The inocula are from saline-washed fresh stationary phase cultures grown in NGY medium [0.1% neopeptone, 0.4% glucose, 0.1% yeast extract] for 18-24 h at 30°C. Yeasts grown in this way have a viable count of  $2 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml  $\pm$   $0.3 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml and can easily be adjusted to the desired concentration with saline. The concentration is checked by spectrophotometry and verified by viable counts. The volume injected is the same across the doses. An infective dose of  $1 \times 10^6$  CFU/mouse is used. This infective dose has previously been shown to give a mean survival time of 5-7 days in BALB/c mice.

Animals are fed food and water ad libitum throughout the course of experiment. In the DOX-treated group (+DOX), mice are administered with DOX (2 mg/ml) dissolved in 5% sucrose solution as drinking water from 2 days before the inoculation of *C. albicans* cells. The mice are known to drink approximately 5 ml of sucrose solution every day. Under this regimen, the concentrations of DOX in serum, liver, and kidney are maintained at more than 2 mg/ml of serum, 8 mg/g of liver, and 10 mg/g of kidney, respectively (Nakayama, H., *et al.*, 1998, Microbiology 144:2407-2415.) Percent survival is followed over 28 days with daily body weight monitoring. Differences between the effects of *C. albicans* with the BPL1 gene active (-DOX) or repressed (+DOX) *in vivo* are monitored by mouse survival, kidney burdens of viable fungi, and changes in body weight relative to baseline.

## CLAIMS

1. A method of screening or testing for candidate antifungal compounds that impair biotin protein ligase 1 enzyme (BPL1) function, comprising:
  - a) providing fungal BPL1;
  - b) providing one or more candidate compounds;
  - c) contacting said BPL1 with said one or more candidate compounds; and
  - d) determining the interaction of the candidate compound with said BPL1.
2. A method according to claim 1 wherein the BPL1 comprises a fragment, a function-conservative variant, an active fragment or a fusion protein of BPL1.
3. A method according to any one of claims 1 or 2, wherein the fungal BPL1 is from fungus of *Candida* or *Aspergillus* species.
4. A modified eukaryotic cell(s) wherein the cell(s) expresses fungal BPL1 under the control of a heterologous promoter.
5. The cell according to claim 4 which is a *C. albicans* cell.
6. The cell according to any one of claims 4 or 5, wherein the BPL1 is homologous.
7. The cell according to any one of claims 4 to 5, wherein the BPL1 comprises a fragment, a function-conservative variant, an active fragment or a fusion protein of BPL1.
8. A method of screening or testing for candidate antifungal compounds that impair biotin protein ligase 1 enzyme (BPL1) function, comprising:
  - a) providing fungal BPL1 in a eukaryotic cell(s) as defined in any one of claims 4 to 7;
  - b) providing one or more candidate compounds;
  - c) contacting said eukaryotic cell(s) with said one or more candidate compounds; and
  - d) determining the interaction of the candidate compound with said BPL1 by assessing the effect on growth or viability of said cells.
9. A compound identified by the method of claims 1, 2, 3 or 8, which impairs BPL1 function for use as an antifungal compound.
10. A pharmaceutical composition comprising a BPL1 inhibitor and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.
11. *Candida* or *Aspergillus* BPL1 as a specific target for antifungal compounds.
12. The use of a BPL1 inhibitor, in the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of fungal infections.

13. The use of a BPL1 inhibitor, in the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of fungal infections in a subject who is immunosuppressed.
14. The use according to claim 12 or 13 wherein the fungal infection is a topical, mucosal or systemic fungal infection.
15. The use according to claim 14 wherein the topical or mucosal fungal infection is caused by species of *Candida* or the systemic fungal infection is caused by species of *Candida* or *Aspergillus*.
16. The use according to any one of claims 12 to 15 wherein said compound impairs fungal BPL1 function to a greater extent than host BPL1 function.